

Remarks

This Amendment responds to the Official Action mailed March 21, 2008. All claims were rejected. By this Amendment, all claims have been amended, either directly or through dependence on amended claims. All claims now recite the presence of a housing.

In the Response to Arguments in the referenced Official Action, it is stated:

The applicant argued that Hashimoto does not disclose "a portable handheld multimedia recorder/player/cellular telephone apparatus" by "providing a cell phone within a portable mobile entertainment and information apparatus of handheld size and weight" (See remark, page 20-21). The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's argument. The limitation "**within**" is not in the claims. The limitation "**comprising**" as recited in claims is not narrow enough to prevent the portable handheld multimedia recorder/player, as disclosed by Hashimoto, from being coupled to a cellular telephone. For that reason, Hashimoto does disclose a portable handheld multimedia recorder/player/cellular telephone apparatus.

All claims with the exception of claims 157-160 recite a cellphone in the housing of the apparatus. The argument presented in opposition to the employment of Hashimoto in the rejection of the claims other than claims 157-160 is incorporated herein by reference. Reconsideration is requested.

Regarding claims 157-160 most strikingly and certain other claims as well, the incorporation of the memory card into the recited apparatus is emphasized. The recitations operatively connect the socket and received memory card with functions of the apparatus not reserved for a SIM card. The memory card of the '718 Cheng et al. patent and of the later issued Cheng et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,035,216 are SIM cards exclusively employed by the cellphone to provide appropriate cooperation with cellular

networks. The Cheng et al. patents do not address memory cards operatively connected to the recited functions.


Further, the recitation of the socket in claim 157 as being "without any elastic member or element on the card" and "without separate card case or card locking elastic member on the housing" are not found in either Cheng et al.

Claim 159 employs a sensor in the housing with the internet apparatus for sensing one or a combination of "low ambient light, motion, sounds, light, image, acceleration or deceleration" which is not found in the art.

Accordingly, it is here asserted that the claims are novel and unobvious, asserting features not found in or evident from the prior art. Consideration of these amended claims is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 2, 2008



John D. McConaghy  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 26,773

<p>CUSTOMER NUMBER <b>58688</b> U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</p>	<p><b>Connolly Bove Lodge &amp; Hutz LLP</b> 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 3150 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 (213) 787-2500</p>
--	--